

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,429	07/06/2001	Andrew Daiber	NUFO007	4974	
75	90 03/26/2003				
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			JACKSON, CORNELIUS H		
LOS ANGELES	S, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2828		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	L- (-	Application No.	Ampliace Man			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/900,429	DAIBER, ANDREW			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Cornelius H. Jackson	2828			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re ly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	January 2003 .				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	on of Claims					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		Paul to			
	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.		PAUL IP			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800						
• •	on Papers					
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine		o Francisco			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
•	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	MITHIOT.				
		n nriarity under OF LLO A	110(a) (d) or (5)			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)∐ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •				
Attachment	(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Art Unit: 2828

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Acknowledgment

1. Acknowledgment is made that applicant's Amendment, filed on 13 January 2003, has been entered. Upon entrance of the Amendment, claims 1-3, 7, 10, 13-14, 16-17, 20, 23-26, 28-32 were amended and claim 33 was added. Claims 1-33 are now pending in the present application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 30 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fee (5943352). Fee disclose a laser apparatus **Figs. 1-3**, comprising: a gain medium **124**; a reflector **208A-C**, the reflector **208A-C** and an output facet of the gain medium **124**

Art Unit: 2828

defining a laser cavity; an optical output assembly **126** optically coupled to the gain medium **124**; and means for providing selective thermal control **308** to the optical output assembly **126** independently from the reflector **208A-C**.

Regarding claim 31, Fee discloses means for providing selective thermal control to the optical output assembly **126** independently from the reflector **208A-C**.

Regarding claim 32, Fee discloses a thermally conductive substrate **302**, the optical output assembly **126** mounted on the thermally conductive substrate **302**; and a thermoelectric controller **304** joined to the thermally conductive substrate **302**, the optical output assembly **126** configured to be thermally controlled by the thermoelectric controller **304** via thermal conduction through the substrate **302**.

Regarding claim 33, Fee discloses a thermally conductive substrate **302**, the gain medium **124** mounted on the thermally conductive substrate **302**; and a thermoelectric controller **304** joined to the thermally conductive substrate **302**, the gain medium **124** configured to be thermally controlled by the thermoelectric controller **304** via thermal conduction through the substrate **302**.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2828

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5. Sesko et al. (5438579). Sesko et al. teach a laser apparatus Figs. 2A-F comprising a gain medium 1 having second 21 and first 22 output facets; a reflector 11, the reflector 11 and the second output facet 21 defining an external cavity; an optical output assembly 2A optically coupled to the second output facet 21; a thermally conductive substrate, the gain medium 1 mounted on the thermally conductive substrate, a thermoelectric controller joined to the thermally conductive substrate and the gain medium 1 configured to be thermally controlled by the thermoelectric controller via thermal conduction through the substrate; and the reflector 11 positioned remotely from the thermally conductive substrate and the thermoelectric controller, see col. 7, lines **57-59 and col. 13, lines 11-18**. Sesko et al. fails to teach the optical output assembly mounted on the thermally conductive substrate and the optical output assembly being configured to be thermally controlled by the thermoelectric controller via thermal conduction through the substrate. Instead Sesko et al. teach the first output facet 21 is used as an output coupler, as a design choice, see col. 13, lines 26-30. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separate the functions of the output facet between the facet and another optical coupling device, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements (or formerly separate elements into one) involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Art Unit: 2828

Regarding claims 14, 23, 25-27 and 30-33, Sesko et al. teaches all the stated limitations, see rejection to claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 2, 6, 8, 11-13, 15, 17-18 and 20-22, it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Regarding claims 3, 16 and 24, Sesko et al. teach a channel selector 5; a tuning assembly operatively coupled to the channel selector 5 and configured to adjust the channel selector 5; and the channel selector 5 and the tuning assembly positioned remotely from the substrate, see col. 13, line 46-col. 14, line 65.

Regarding claims 4, 5 and 19, Sesko et al. teach a first and second collimating lens **2A(B)** optically coupled to an output facet and configured to be thermally controlled, **see rejections above**.

Regarding claim 7, Sesko teach all the stated limitations, see col. 1, lines 24-26.

Regarding claim 9, Sesko teach a grid etalon 4, see rejections above.

Regarding claim 10, Sesko teach all the stated limitations, see col. 1, lines 24-26 and col. 9, lines 3-14.

Regarding claims 28 and 29, the method of forming a device is not germane to the issue of patentability of the device itself. Therefore, the rejection used against the device, stands for the method as well.

Application/Control Number: 09/900,429 Page 6

Art Unit: 2828

### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 13 January 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued the following:

- a. Fee fails to disclose the limitation of "an optical output assembly coupled to the gain medium".
- b. Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of obviousness because the cited combination does not teach or suggest all of the elements recited in the claims.
- c. Examiner's assertion fails to teach or suggest at least one of the claim limitations as expressly recited by claim 1. In particular, a "optical output assembly mounted on said thermally conductive substrate" and a "optical output assembly configured to be thermally controlled by said thermoelectric controller via thermal conduction through said substrate."

Examiner reply to Applicant's arguments are as follows:

- a. As stated in the rejection above of claim 30, Fee does disclose an optical output assembly **126** optically coupled to the gain medium **124**. Although Fee states that the laser used is a diode, Fee's diode laser comprises all the limitations as expressly recited in the claim.
- b. Sesko et al. disclosed each and every element of Applicant's invention, only difference being that the rear facet took on the combined function of being facet and the optical output assembly. Sesko et al. also disclosed that when the rear facet takes on

Art Unit: 2828

this dual function the reflectivity is lowered. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separate the optical coupling (output assembly) function from the rear facet so that the facet may function as a typically mirror having a reflectivity greater than 90% by adding an optical coupler (output assembly).

c. Since rear facet is mounted on a thermally conductive substrate and configured to be thermally controlled by said thermoelectric controller via thermal conduction through said substrate, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add an optical coupler (output assembly) on the same substrate of the rear facet when separating the two functions of the rear facet, as stated in reply b above.

#### Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Pease et al. (6304586) **Fig. 2, col. 13, line 53-col. 14, line 60**, discloses a similar invention.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cornelius H. Jackson whose telephone number is (703) 306-5981. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 5:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (703) 308-3098. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)308-7722 for regular communications and (703)308-7721 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

March 20, 2003